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Suggested procedure for use of this module:

1. Review the topic of Reconstruction with students. Discuss why Reconstruction was significant in shaping the history of the South and the entire United States. Highlight topics such as the challenges faced by freedmen, political instability, and the presence of carpetbaggers.
2. Review the definition of primary sources and their importance. Included in this module is a primary source guide page answering some questions students may have about the nature of primary sources and their uses.
3. Have students read the short introduction to the module regarding the content that will be addressed in this module to establish historical context.
4. Have students read the primary source transcript and compare it to the original document scan.
5. Refer students to the key terms section following applicable sources to find definitions of unfamiliar terms.
6. Instruct students to answer the set of questions accompanying each source (either alone or in groups). Encourage them to cite evidence from the primary source whenever possible.
7. Have a copy of the teacher’s discussion guide handy and review the questions in class using the discussion guide to highlight important details from the sources.
Standards This Module Helps Meet:

**Florida Standards**

**Language Arts:**

- **LAFS.910.RH.1.1:** Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources, attending to such features as the date and origin of the information.
- **LAFS.910.RH.1.2:** Determine the central ideas or information of a primary or secondary source; provide an accurate summary of how key events or ideas develop over the course of the text.
- **LAFS.1112.RH.1.1:** Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources, connecting insights gained from specific details to an understanding of the text as a whole.
- **LAFS.1112.RH.1.2:** Determine the central ideas or information of a primary or secondary source; provide an accurate summary that makes clear the relationships among the key details and ideas.

**Sunshine State Standards**

**Social Studies Grade 8:**

- **SS.8.A.1:** Use research and inquiry skills to analyze American History using primary and secondary sources.
- **SS.8.A.1.5:** Identify, within both primary and secondary sources, the author, audience, format, and purpose of significant historical documents.
- **SS.8.A.1.7:** View historic events through the eyes of those who were there as shown in their art, writings, music, and artifacts.
- **SS.8.A.5:** Examine the causes, course, and consequence of the Civil War and Reconstruction including its effects on American peoples.
- **SS.8.A.5.8:** Explain and evaluate the policies, practices, and consequences of Reconstruction (presidential and congressional reconstruction, Johnson's impeachment, Civil Rights Act of 1866, the 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendments, opposition of Southern whites to Reconstruction, accomplishments and failures of Radical Reconstruction, presidential election of 1876, end of Reconstruction, rise of Jim Crow laws, rise of Ku Klux Klan).
- **SS.8.A.5.2:** Analyze the role of slavery in the development of sectional conflict.

**Social Studies Grades 9-12:**

- **SS.912.A.1:** Use research and inquiry skills to analyze American history using primary and secondary sources.
- **SS.912.A.1.1:** Describe the importance of historiography, which includes how historical knowledge is obtained and transmitted, when interpreting events in history.
- **SS.912.A.1.2:** Utilize a variety of primary and secondary sources to identify author, historical significance, audience, and authenticity to understand a historical period.
- **SS.912.A.2:** Understand the causes, course, and consequences of the Civil War and Reconstruction and its effects on the American people.
- **SS.912.A.2.1:** Review causes and consequences of the Civil War
• **SS.912.A.2.2**: Assess the influence of significant people or groups on Reconstruction.
• **SS.912.A.2.4**: Distinguish the freedoms guaranteed to African Americans and other groups with the 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendments to the Constitution.

**Common Core Standards:**

*English Language Arts Standards » History/Social Studies » Grade 6-8:*

• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.6-8.1** Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.6-8.2** Determine the central ideas or information of a primary or secondary source; provide an accurate summary of the source distinct from prior knowledge or opinions.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.6-8.4** Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including vocabulary specific to domains related to history/social studies.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.6-8.5** Describe how a text presents information (e.g., sequentially, comparatively, causally).
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.6-8.6** Identify aspects of a text that reveal an author's point of view or purpose (e.g., loaded language, inclusion or avoidance of particular facts).

*English Language Arts Standards » History/Social Studies » Grade 9-10:*

• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.1** Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources, attending to such features as the date and origin of the information.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.2** Determine the central ideas or information of a primary or secondary source; provide an accurate summary of how key events or ideas develop over the course of the text.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.6** Compare the point of view of two or more authors for how they treat the same or similar topics, including which details they include and emphasize in their respective accounts.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.9** Compare and contrast treatments of the same topic in several primary and secondary sources.

*English Language Arts Standards » History/Social Studies » Grade 11-12:*

• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.11-12.1** Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources, connecting insights gained from specific details to an understanding of the text as a whole.
• **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.11-12.2** Determine the central ideas or information of a primary or secondary source; provide an accurate summary that makes clear the relationships among the key details and ideas.
Southern states that seceded from the Union during the Civil War experienced trying times in the years following the war’s conclusion. This era was known as “Reconstruction,” which lasted roughly from 1865 to 1877. The term “Reconstruction” refers to the period during which the United States was attempting to piece itself back together after the war. The Confederates had lost, which meant that life in the South was going to change. During this time, Northerners began moving into former Confederate states in order to make profits and enact political change in the vulnerable, war-ravaged South. These Northerners, known as “carpetbaggers” to Southerners for the style of luggage they frequently brought with them, were an unwelcomed presence in the South and became greatly resented. Many of these “carpetbaggers” were Republican politicians who sought to control local governments in the South. Some of these Republicans were “Radical Republicans” who endorsed harsh policies against former Confederates and their states and wanted to ensure full rights for former slaves. Unfortunately, during Reconstruction the South was greatly susceptible to political instability and violence. The Democratic Party desperately sought an end to Reconstruction and the presence of Northerners in the South. They strongly favored the subjugation of African Americans and felt that the entire process of Reconstruction was a personal humiliation. This put them in direct opposition to the Republican Party, who suffered their own problems due to in-party fracturing between “Radicals” and “Moderates.”

Despite the wishes of white southerners, the end of the war meant that millions of slaves in the United States finally received their freedom. During the period of Reconstruction new amendments were added to the U.S. Constitution to affirm these new rights for African Americans. These amendments included the Thirteenth Amendment, which officially abolished slavery, the Fourteenth Amendment, which affirmed that anyone born or naturalized in the United States is an American citizen, including African Americans, and finally the Fifteenth Amendment, which prohibited denying any citizen the right to vote based on that citizen's race, color, or previous condition of servitude. However, despite the presence of Republicans who endorsed actions to improve the lives of African Americans, things remained slow to change. African Americans still experienced difficult circumstances during this period in the South due to rampant racial prejudices.

At the start of Reconstruction, Florida was a very unstable place. Following the end of the Civil War, Florida was placed under military law along with other former Confederate states. The Reconstruction Acts of 1867 passed by Congress meant that five military districts were created in the South, each placed under the control of a U.S. general, and required Southern states to accept the 13th and 14th amendments.¹ The first source in this module will come from this period when Florida was trying to remove itself from military control. Governor Walker was a Democrat who came to be Governor of Florida in 1866, and remained in office until 1868. Walker spent much of his administration trying to restore the state government while under military occupation. However, he was not a supporter of the new changes being imposed the South. Walker defended slavery as an institution under which African Americans were content and happy. He also adamantly opposed African Americans getting the right to vote. These sentiments were shared by many whites in the South who resented the northern Republicans’ agenda of granting equality to African Americans. Interestingly, the source that recorded Governor Walker’s message is a book written by a former slave from North Carolina named John Wallace called *Carpetbag Rule in Florida*. John Wallace was held as a slave until 1862 when he escaped once General Burnside and the Union Army came to fight the Confederates in his home state. In 1863 Wallace entered the war in the Second United States Colored Troops and went on to self-educate himself through relentless study.² John Wallace provides perspective to Governor Walker’s commentary, and gives valuable insight into the thoughts of the average freedman.

The next source in this module will come from a different Florida governor named Harrison Reed. Governor Reed was a Republican from Massachusetts who became governor of Florida after the adoption of the new Florida Constitution of 1868. Reed’s inaugural speech, recorded in the *Senate Journal* of 1868, reveals his

---

vision for a Florida being fully reincorporated into the Union. Seen as a carpetbagger by Southerners, Reed supported wide spread changes to Florida, such as equality for all citizens. He was a supporter of African Americans’ suffrage and even appointed an African American man named Jonathan Clarkson Gibbs as Florida's first African-American Secretary of State. Reed’s condemnation of slavery was typical of his party. However, Reed’s time in office did not go smoothly. There were two separate movements to impeach Governor Reed that came from within his own party, the Republicans, due to political fracturing.  

Despite the efforts by men like Governor Reed, and gaining significant rights through the 13th, 14th, and 15th amendments, African Americans still had a lot to fear in the south. Organizations like the Ku Klux Klan wrecked havoc by using physical violence and acts of terror to instill fear into local communities in Florida. During the election of 1876, southern white Democrats were known to abduct African Americans and use threats and violence to intimidate Republicans and African Americans to vote for their party. Two of the documents used in this module deal with this corrupt election between presidential candidates, Republican Rutherford B. Hayes and Democrat Samuel J. Tilden. Florida, South Carolina, and Louisiana were the three Southern states that were accused of using bribery and violence to discourage Republicans and African Americans from showing up to the polls. One of these accounts will come from an African American man named Joseph King who describes the instance in which he and his companions were abducted by a group of white Democrats and terrorized into changing their political beliefs. Another account comes from a chief deputy marshal who witnessed the corruption firsthand at the polls with white Democrats crowding poll locations and carrying pistols in their pant pockets to intimidate African American voters.

Reconstruction officially came to an end in 1877 when President Rutherford B. Hayes negotiated with Southern Democrats to end harsh Reconstruction policies in the South in exchange for Democratic support. While this meant big changes in Florida, it didn’t mean that the problems of Reconstruction magically vanished from the South. The Democrats in Florida eventually gained control of enough state offices to end the years of Republican rule and succeed in getting the removal of federal troops. However, with Democrats regaining their foothold, there were diminishing opportunities for African Americans to express themselves in the subsequent years. Unfortunately, while Florida and the rest of the Confederate states all eventually be admitted back into the Union, the same racial issues that divided the nation were far from being eradicated from Florida or the rest of the South when Reconstruction finally came to an end.

Q: What is a Primary Source?

A: According to the Library of Congress, “Primary sources are the raw materials of history — original documents and objects which were created at the time under study.” The key to remember is that primary sources were created during the time period being studied.

Q: Are primary sources exclusively written documents?

A: No! It’s true that many primary sources take the form of written accounts, like newspapers, letters, and journals. However, primary sources can take many forms. Remember the key to a primary source is that it was created in the time period being studied. Therefore, photographs, film footage, paintings, maps, clothing, architecture, everyday objects, and many other items can also be seen as primary sources.

Q: Is my textbook a primary source?

A: No. Resources like textbooks, biographies, research papers, histories, and encyclopedias are not primary sources. This is because they were created after the time period being studied. Therefore, sources about certain periods in history, but not created during that period of history, are not primary sources.

Q: If textbooks and similar sources created after the period of history being studied are not primary sources, what are they? Are they reliable?

A: These types of sources are known as secondary sources. However, that does not mean they do not contain good information. Textbooks, histories, biographies, and other sources about historical periods are constructed from the information found in primary sources. Therefore, the information contained in primary sources give people in later time periods the necessary information to create summaries and draw conclusions about the past. Furthermore, many secondary sources include primary sources like photographs or excerpts of personal accounts to support their interpretations of the past.

Q: What should I look for when I am studying a primary source?

A: There are many things to look for when viewing a primary source. Of course, it depends on the nature of the source you are looking at. For example, if you are reading a letter from someone you should look for things like the type of language used, author biases, dates mentioned, the purpose of the letter, and to whom it was being addressed. Naturally, if you are viewing something like a painting as a primary source you will be looking for different things, such as what the painting depicts, what type of materials were used in its creation, and an artist’s signature.

Q: Why study primary sources?

A: Primary sources are the most important link we have to the past. They reveal the important events, thoughts, opinions, styles, attitudes, and customs of the past. Primary sources are the most dependable way to get information about the past because they were created by those who lived it.
The Governor next turned his attention to that problem which had perplexed the statesman, the philanthropist, and the philosopher for more than half a century: —“What shall we do with the Negro?” He said:

“I think we are bound by every consideration of duty, gratitude and interest, to make these people as enlightened, prosperous and happy as their new situation will admit. For generations past they have been our faithful, contented and happy slaves. They have been attached to our persons and our fortunes, sharing with us all our feelings, rejoicing with us in our prosperity, mourning with us in our adversity. If there were exceptions to this general rule, they were only individual exceptions. Every Southern man who hears me knows that what I say is literally true in regard to the vast mass of our colored population. The world has never before seen such a body of slaves. For not only in peace, but in war, they have been faithful to us. During much of the time of the late unhappy difficulties, Florida had a greater number of men in the army beyond her limits than constituted her entire voting population. This of course stripped many districts of their entire arms-bearing inhabitants, and left our females and infant children almost exclusively to the protection of our slaves. They proved true to their trust. Not one instance of insult, outrage or indignity has ever come to my knowledge. They remained at home and made provisions for our army. Many of them went with our sons to the army, and there too, proved their fidelity—attending them when well, nursing and caring for them when sick and wounded. We all know that many of them were willing, and some of them anxious to take up arms in our cause. Although for several years within sound of the guns of the vessels of the United States, for six hundred miles along our seaboard, yet scarcely one in a thousand voluntarily left our agricultural service to take shelter and freedom under the flag of the Union. It is not their fault that they are free—they had nothing to do with it; that was brought about by the results and operations of the war. But they are free. They are no longer our contented and happy slaves, with an abundant supply of food and clothing for themselves and families, and the intelligence of a superior race to look ahead and make all necessary arrangements for their comfort. They are now a discontented and unhappy people, many of them houseless and homeless, roaming about in gangs over the land, not knowing one day where the supplies for the next are to come from; exposed to the ravages of disease and famine; exposed to the temptations of theft and robbery, by which they are often overcome; without the intelligence to provide for themselves when well, or to care for themselves when sick, and doomed to untold sufferings and ultimate extinction unless we intervene for their protection and preservation. Will we do it? I repeat, we are bound to do it, by every consideration of gratitude and interest.”

The whites being to some extent exasperated about the freedom of the slaves, and not knowing what their conduct might be as free laborers, talk of the importation of white labor from Germany, Ireland, Italy and other countries, was quite prevalent. As to this subject the Governor said:

“But let us always remember that we have a laboring class of our own which is entitled to the preference. It is not sufficient to say that white labor is cheaper. I trust we are not so far degraded as to consult interest alone. But interest alone would dictate that it is better to give these people employment and enable them to support themselves, then have them remain upon our hands as a pauper race; for here they are, and here, for weal or woe, they are obliged to stay. We must remember that these black people are natives of this country and have a pre-emption right to be recipients of whatever favors we may have to bestow. We must protect them, if not against the competition, at any rate against the exactions of white immigrants. They will expect our black laborers to do as much work in this climate as they have been accustomed to see white ones perform in more northern latitudes. We know that they cannot do it. They never did it for us as slaves, and the experience of the last six months shows that they will do no better as freedmen. Our fathers of 1783 knew that it takes five black men to do the work of three white ones, and consequently, in adjusting the apportionment of taxes upon the basis of labor and industry of the country, eleven of the thirteen States of the old confederation recommended that every five blacks be counted as only three. And if we can offer sufficient inducements, I am inclined to
think that the black man, as a field laborer in our climate, will prove more efficient than the imported white.”

Referring to the question of negro suffrage, the Governor said:

“We have been able to give an honest and conscientious assent to all that has been done, but each one of us knows that we could not give either an honest or conscientious assent to negro suffrage. There is not one of us that would not feel that he was doing wrong, and bartering his self-respect, his conscience and his duty to his country and to the Union itself, for the benefits he might hope to obtain by getting back into the Union. Much as I worshipped the Union, and much as I would rejoice to see my State once more recognized as a member therof, yet it is better, a thousand times better, that she should remain out of the Union, even as one of her subjugated provinces, than go back ‘eviscerated of her manhood,’ despoiled of her honor, recreant to her duty, without her self-respect, and of course without the respect of the balance of mankind—a miserable thing, with seeds of moral and political death in herself, soon to be communicate to all her associates.”

With the feelings that existed at that period among a goodly number of the whites with reference to the freedom of the negro, I must confess that it took a great deal of courage for the Governor to assert that negro’s faithfulness to his master for generations past and during the war. Although the assertion was true, I have no doubt that a majority of the whites desired to see the negro prosperous, at least as a laborer, and to be fully protected in his person and property, if gratitude was to be measured to him as his faithfulness had been measured to his formal master. As to his contentment, happiness, and being supplied with food and clothing, the Governor and others may have fed and clothed their slaves abundantly, but not enough so as to make them desirous of remaining slaves or to make them contented. If such was the case it would not have been necessary for the Legislature, anterior to the war, to pass a law punishing white persons for cruelty to slaves. In fact, it is absolutely necessary in order to govern a slave to punish him more severely than it would be necessary for the law to punish a freeman. I think “The Life and Times of the Hon. Frederick Douglass” is conclusive on this point. I am confident if all these slaves the Governor spoke of had been called up at that time they would have said to him that they felt quite happy, even while there were many who were destitute and had no home to go to. Yet most of these people were looked after by their former masters, as they had never left their premises. It was only those left the premises of, or those who had been driven away from, the places of their former masters, who were in danger of suffering. So the Governor was right in the abstract, but not in the concrete. The following poem fully expresses the feelings of the freedman before and after their liberation. It was written and delivered by John Wallace at the celebration of the seventeenth anniversary of the Emancipation Proclamation at Tallahassee, Florida:

Freedom, thou welcome spirit of Love,
Whence and from where dist thou begin?
Thou from God’s bosom as a dove
Dist seek the earth to vanquish sin.
Before the land and skies were made
Thy spirit hovered o’er the deep,
And when God earth’s foundation laid,
Did enter man when yet asleep.
As he arose from dust to flesh,
Near him wast thou where e’er he went;
Though cast from Eden’s garden fresh,
Thou wast with him in sorrow bent.
And still wast thou all through
Despotic ages past and gone,
And as a brother e’er proved true—
Thy light ‘mid darkness ever shone.
When Pharaoh Israel’s children held
Four hundred years abject, enslaved,
To free them Egypt was impelled,
Though then was gained the land they craved.
America thought thee to evade,  
And to the South her slaves she sold;  
But through power she was made  
To yield to thee this great stronghold.  
Though here was called unto thy aid  
Grim war, the court of last appeal—  
And North and South each other braved,  
Yet now they both thy blessings feel.  
There were four millions souls and more  
Of Africans in slavery bound,  
They sought they crown ‘mid trials sore,  
Two hundred years, and then ‘twas found.  
Mankind has ne’er contended been  
Where slaver’s cruel sway was held.  
‘Twas giant Freedom fought the sin  
Till all its darkness was dispelled.  
Go sound the trumpet, ring the bell!  
Just seventeen years ago to-day  
Sweet Freedom wrestled us from hell  
And put an end to slavery’s sway.

Key terms:
- **Pre-emption**: When state law and federal law conflict, federal law displaces, or preempts, state law, due to the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution. U.S. Const.
The Governor next turned his attention to that problem which had perplexed the statesman, the philanthropist and the philosopher for more than half a century.—"What shall we do with the Negro?"—He said:

"I think we are bound by every consideration of duty, gratitude and interest, to make these people as enlightened, prosperous and happy as their new situation will admit. For generations past they have been our faithful, contented and happy slaves. They have been attached to our persons and our fortunes, sharing with us all our feelings, rejoicing with us in our prosperity, mourning with us in our adversity. If there were exceptions to this general rule, they were only individual exceptions. Every Southern man who hears me knows that what I say is literally true in regard to the vast mass of our colored population. The world has never before seen such a body of slaves. For not only in peace, but in war, they have been faithful to us. During much of the time of the late unhappy difficulties, Florida had a greater number of men in the army beyond her limits than constituted her entire voting population. This of course stripped many districts of their entire arms-bearing inhabitants, and left our females and infant children almost exclusively to the protection of our slaves. They proved true to their trust. Not one instance of insult, outrage or indignity has ever come to my knowledge. They remained at home and made provisions for our army. Many of them went with our sons to the army, and there, too, proved their fidelity—attending them when well, nursing and caring for them when sick and wounded. We all know that many of them were willing, and some of them anxious, to take up arms in our cause. Although for several years within sound of the guns of the vessels of the United States, for six hundred miles along our seacoast, yet scarcely one in a thousand voluntarily left our agricultural service to take shelter and freedom under the flag of the Union. It is not their fault that they are free—they had nothing to do with it; that was brought about by the results and operations of the war. But they are free. They are no longer our contented and happy slaves, with an abundant supply of food and clothing for themselves and families, and the intelligence of a superior race to look ahead and make all necessary arrangements for their comfort. They are now a discontented and unhappy people, many of them houseless and homeless, roaming about in gangs over the land, not knowing one day where the supplies for the next are to come from; exposed to the ravages of disease and famine; exposed to the temptations of theft and robbery, by which they are often overcome; without the intelligence to provide for themselves when well, or to care for themselves when sick, and doomed to untold sufferings and ultimate extinction unless we intervene for their protection and preservation. Will we do it? I repeat, we are bound to do it, by every consideration of gratitude and interest."

The whites being to some extent exasperated about the freedom of the slaves, and not knowing what their conduct might be as free laborers, talk of the importation of white labor from
Germany, Ireland, Italy and other countries, was quite prevalent. As to this subject the Governor said:

"But let us always remember that we have a laboring class of our own which is entitled to the preference. It is not sufficient to say that white labor is cheaper. I trust we are not so far degraded as to consult interest alone. But interest alone would dictate that it is better to give these people employment and enable them to support themselves, than have them remain upon our hands as a pauper race; for here they are, and here, for weal or woe, they are obliged to stay. We must remember that these black people are natives of this country and have a pre-emption right to be recipients of whatever favors we may have to bestow. We must protect them, if not against the competition, at any rate against the exactions of white immigrants. They will expect our black laborers to do as much work in this climate as they have been accustomed to see white ones perform in more northern latitudes. We know that they cannot do it. They never did it for us as slaves, and the experience of the last six months shows that they will do no better as freedmen. Our fathers of 1783 knew that it takes five black men to do the work of three white ones, and consequently, in adjusting the apportionment of taxes upon the basis of labor and industry of the country, eleven of the thirteen States of the old confederation recommended that every five blacks be counted as only three. And if we can offer sufficient inducements, I am inclined to think that the black man, as a field laborer in our climate, will prove more efficient that the imported white."

Referring to the question of negro suffrage, the Governor said:

"We have been able to give an honest and conscientious assent to all that has been done, but each one of us knows that we could not give either an honest or conscientious assent to negro suffrage. There is not one of us that would not feel that he was doing wrong, and bartering his self-respect, his conscience and his duty to his country and to the Union itself, for the benefits he might hope to obtain by getting back into the Union. Much as I worshipped the Union, and much as I would rejoice to see my State once more recognized as a member thereof, yet it is better, a thousand times better, that she should remain out of the Union, even as one of her subdued provinces, than go back 'eviscerated of her manhood,' despoiled of her honor, recreant to her duty, without her self-respect, and of course without the respect of the balance of mankind—a miserable thing, with seeds of moral and political death in herself, soon to be communicated to all her associates."

With the feelings that existed at that period among a goodly number of the whites with reference to the freedom of the negro, I must confess that it took a great deal of courage for the Governor to assert the negro's faithfulness to his master for generations past and during the war. Although the assertion was true, I have no doubt that a majority of the whites desired to see the negro prosperous, at least as a laborer, and to be fully protected in his person and property, if gratitude was to be measured to him as his faithfulness had been measured to his former master. As to their contentment, happiness, and being supplied with food and clothing, the Governor and others may have fed and clothed their slaves abundantly, but not enough so as to make them desirous of remaining slaves or to make them contented. If such was the case it would not have been necessary for the Legislature, anterior to the war, to pass a law punishing white persons for cruelty to slaves. In fact, it is absolutely necessary in order to govern a slave to punish him more severely than it would be necessary for the law to punish a freeman. I think "The Life and Times of the Hon. Frederick Douglass" is conclusive on this point. I am confident if all these slaves the Governor spoke of had been called up at that time they would have said to him that they felt quite happy, even while there were many who were desirous and had no home to go to. Yet most of these people were looked after by their former masters, as they had never left their premises. It was only those who had left the premises of, or those who had been driven away from, the places of their former masters, who were in danger of suffering. So the Governor was right in the abstract, but not in the concrete. The following poem fully expresses the feelings of the freedmen before and after their liberation. It was written and delivered by John Wallace at the celebration of the seven
teenth anniversary of the Emancipation Proclamation, at Tallahassee, Florida:

Freedom, thou welcome spirit of Love,
Whence and from where didst thou begin?
Thou from God’s bosom as a dove
Didst seek the earth to vanquish sin.
Before the land and skies were made
Thy spirit hovered o’er the deep,
And when God earth’s foundation laid,
Did enter man when yet asleep.
As he arose from dust to flesh,
Near him wast thou where e’er he went;
Though cast from Eden’s garden fresh,
Thou wast with him in sorrow bent.
And still wast thou all through
Despotic ages past and gone,
And as a brother e’er proved true—
Thy light ‘mid darkness ever shone.
When Pharaoh Israel’s children held
Four hundred years abject, enslaved,
To free them Egypt was impelled,
Though then was gained the land they craved.

America thought thee to evade,
And to the South her slaves she sold;
But through power she was made
To yield to thee this great stronghold.
Though here was called unto thy aid
Grim war, the court of last appeal—
And North and South each other braved,
Yet now they both thy blessings feel.
There were four million souls and more
Of Africans in slavery bound,
They sought thy crown ’mid trials sore,
Two hundred years, and then ’twas found.
Mankind has ne’er contented been
Where slavery’s cruel sway was held.

’Twas giant Freedom fought the sin
Till all its darkness was dispelled.
Go sound the trumpet, ring the bell!
Just seventeen years ago to-day
Sweet Freedom wrested us from hell
And put an end to slavery’s sway.
Source #1 Questions:

Directions: Answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Use the document to support your answers whenever possible.

1. In his speech, Governor Walker asserts that African Americans were content being slaves. What reasons does he give to support his opinion? What does he believe will happen to free African Americans without a master? Use quotes from the document to support your answer.

2. When discussing African American labor Governor Walker states, “But interest alone would dictate that it is better to give these people employment and enable them to support themselves, then have them remain upon our hands as a pauper race; for here they are, and here, for weal or woe, they are obliged to stay.” What issue from this period prompted Walker to feel this way? From his discussion, how does Walker seem to feel on the issue of African Americans in the labor force?

3. How does Governor Walker feel in regards to the prospect of African Americans getting the right to vote? What does his reasoning reveal about the way many white Southerners viewed African Americans?

4. The author of Carpetbag Rule in Florida was a former slave, John Wallace. What does his response to Governor Walker’s speech reveal about how African Americans felt about their enslavement and their new freedom? Use quotes to support your answer.

5. Describe the tone of John Wallace’s poem. For what purpose was this poem written and what message is it trying to convey to its audience?
1. In his speech, Governor Walker asserts that African Americans were content being slaves. What reasons does he give to support his opinion? What does he believe will happen to free African Americans without a master? Use quotes from the document to support your answer.

- Governor Walker states that African Americans had been: “faithful, contented and happy slaves.”
- He deems that the slaves were “attached to our persons and our fortunes, sharing with us all our feelings, rejoicing with us in our prosperity, mourning with us in our adversity.”
- Walker believes that this emotional bond supported the idea that slaves were content with their status.
- Furthermore, he claims that slaves were “willing, and some of them anxious to take up arms in our cause,” during the Civil War.
- He uses this as proof of slaves’ loyalty to their masters and contentment with their condition.
- In addition, Walker expresses that the slaves are unhappy now because they now lack an “…abundant supply of food and clothing for themselves and families, and the intelligence of a superior race to look ahead and make all necessary arrangements for their comfort.”
- Thus, Walker is under the impression that slaves were happy being enslaved because they were not responsible for providing their own necessities like clothing and food.
- Governor Walker states, “They are now a discontented and unhappy people, many of them houseless and homeless, roaming about in gangs over the land, not knowing one day where the supplies for the next are to come from; exposed to the ravages of disease and famine; exposed to the temptations of theft and robbery, by which they are often overcome; without the intelligence to provide for themselves when well, or to care for themselves when sick, and doomed to untold sufferings and ultimate extinction unless we intervene for their protection and preservation.”
- Therefore, it is clear that Walker feels that African Americans would be incapable of providing for their own subsistence without the assistance of whites. He views them as an inferior race not suited for a life of independent freedom.
- Overall, students should understand that Walker considered slaves content with their status as slaves because he believes they are incapable of having successful or fulfilling lives on their own. He believes that African Americans are an inferior race that would not be able to survive or thrive without the guiding hand of whites to provide shelter, food, and stability.

2. When discussing African American labor Governor Walker states, “But interest alone would dictate that it is better to give these people employment and enable them to support themselves, then have them remain upon our hands as a pauper race; for here they are, and here, for weal or woe, they are obliged to stay.” What issue from this period prompted Walker to feel this way? From his discussion, how does Walker seem to feel on the issue of African Americans in the labor force?

- Governor Walker is prompted to discuss the issue of free black labor due the importation of white labor from Germany, Ireland, Italy and other countries.
- Walker is forced to accept that African Americans are now a free class of people that is “obliged to stay” in “weal or woe.”
- Students should note that he seems to begrudgingly accept the situation of African American entering the labor force as a better alternative to having them remain a “pauper race.”
- In regards to issue of employing African American laborers, Walker states, “We must protect them, if not against the competition, at any rate against the exactions of white immigrants.”
- Walker fears there will be expectations for the African American laborer to do the same amount of work as a white man from a cooler climate; he states, “They will expect our black laborers to do as much work in this climate as they have been accustomed to see white ones perform in more northern latitudes.”
However, he also states, “And if we can offer sufficient inducements, I am inclined to think that the black man, as a field laborer in our climate will prove more efficient that [than] the imported white.”

Thus, while he does not particularly like the idea of African Americans being freed and entering the labor force, he also feels confident that they will be superior to white immigrant laborers in the climate of the South.

3. How does Governor Walker feel in regards to the prospect of African Americans getting the right to vote? What does his reasoning reveal about the way many white Southerners viewed African Americans?

- Students should note that Governor Walker is very much opposed to African Americans getting the right to vote.
- He states, “We have been able to give an honest and conscientious assent to all that has been done, but each one of us knows that we could not give either an honest or conscientious assent to negro suffrage.”
- This reveals a deeply rooted sense of superiority white Southerners possessed in regards to African Americans.
- Throughout the document Walker frequently expresses his belief that African Americans were an inferior race that needed the, “intelligence of a superior race to look ahead and make all necessary arrangements for their comfort.”
- Students should understand that at this time, numerous Southern whites believed that African Americans were inferior to whites in every way. There was a common belief that African Americans needed to be looked after like children because they were not capable of doing things on their own.
- Thus, many Southern whites were opposed to the idea of African Americans voting because they believed they were a race both underserving and incapable of actively participating in government.

4. The author of *Carpetbag Rule in Florida* was a former slave, John Wallace. What does his response to Governor Walker’s speech reveal about how African Americans felt about their enslavement and their new freedom? Use quotes to support your answer.

- John Wallace reveals the flaws in Walker’s assertions that African Americas were happy being enslaved.
- Wallace writes, “As to his contentment, happiness, and being supplied with food and clothing, the Governor and others may have fed and clothed their slaves abundantly, but not enough so as to make them desirous of remaining slaves or to make them contented. If such was the case it would not have been necessary for the Legislature, anterior to the war, to pass a law punishing white persons for cruelty to slaves.”
- This reveals the fact that slaves were never meant to be as content or protected as a freeman. Thus, they were not living an idyllically simple and content life like Governor Walker asserted they were.
- Wallace’s commentary reveals a great sense of happiness in regards to being freed.
- He writes, “I am confident if all these slaves the Governor spoke of had been called up at that time they would have said to him that they felt quite happy, even while there were many who were destitute and had no home to go to.”
- Thus, even though there were many difficulties that faced African Americans once they were made free, they still preferred these struggles to being enslaved.

5. Describe the tone of John Wallace’s poem. For what purpose was this poem written and what message is it trying to convey to its audience?

- This poem is described as being written for the celebration of the seventeenth anniversary of the Emancipation Proclamation in Tallahassee, Florida.
- The tone of John Wallace’s poem is both celebratory and reflective. It mentions the sorrows of being denied freedom and the horrors of the Civil War. Yet, it also expresses the joy felt by African Americans when the United States was finally made free.
Wallace writes of the fight for freedom, “Grim war, the court of last appeal— /And North and South each other braved, / Yet now they both thy blessings feel.”

Yet, the poem ends triumphantly as Wallace writes, “Go sound the trumpet, ring the bell! /Just seventeen years ago to-day /Sweet Freedom wrestled us from hell /And put an end to slavery’s sway.”

Wallace writes that this poem, “fully expresses the feelings of the freedmen before and after their liberation.”

The poem is intended to remind the audience of the difficult times before slavery was eradicated, yet it is also intended to rally the spirit of the audience in a celebration of freedom.
INAUGURAL ADDRESS.

Fellow Citizens of Florida: In entering upon the high trust which your partiality has conferred, in deference to time honored custom it becomes my duty to briefly indicate the policy of my administration as Chief Magistrate of the State.

In November, 1860, the constitutional rights of the people of Florida were subverted and its civil government was overthrown. Since then the State has been without a constitutional government and subject to military law.

In March 1867, the Congress of the United States, in obedience to its obligation to “guarantee to every State a Republican form of government,” prepared a plan by which the State could regain its forfeited rights and its people be restored to the benefits of constitutional government.

Under this plan, you have framed a government which we are here to-day to inaugurate and prepare to make effective. You have formed and adopted a Constitution based upon the great theory of American government, that all men are by nature free and endowed with equal rights. You have laid deep and broad the foundations of the State upon the principle of universal freedom.

Bred to freedom and under Republican institutions; believing slavery an unmitigated curse, as well as a violation of human rights—a moral, political, and physical evil, wherever tolerated, I most cordially congratulate you that it no longer exists to blight the fair heritage which God has given us here, and that the Constitution which you have adopted contains no germ of despotism to generate future discord.

I congratulate you also that no spirit of malevolence or bitterness, growing out of the wrongs and conflicts of the past, has been suffered to mar your organic law, but that in a spirit of magnanimity and forbearance worthy of the highest commendation, those who have fortified their citizenship are welcomed back to the benefits and privileges of the government upon the sole condition of fealty and adherence to the Constitution and laws.

Amid the ruins of a government embodying antagonistic principles, you have laid the foundations of a government insuring harmony, stability, security, and peace. The conflicting elements and interests of the past may now all unite in a homogenous system, all yielding obedience to a common law, which respects alike the interest of all. Time alone can heal the social disorders and dissensions created by the disruption of society and the radical change in the system of government consequent upon the war. We will patiently await its mollifying influences, interposing no obstacles to a speedy restoration.

All classes of society, and all the interests of the State demand peace and good government and if the spirit of our Constitution is appreciated and reciprocated, every citizen may realize these advantages, and the State may arise from its prostrate condition to a measure of prosperity unknown in the past, and becomes one of the brightest luminaries in the galaxy of our glorious Union.

Fellow Citizens! I accept the high responsibility of the Chief Magistracy under your new Constitution, believing firmly in its principles, and unqualifiedly endorsing its policy and that of the Congress under whose clemency we are permitted to inaugurate anew a civil government for the State. I enter upon this high trust with the firm purpose of executing the laws in the spirit of liberality in which they are conceived, and in view of the highest interest of the State and the people. Relying upon your loyalty and patriotism, and the favor and guidance of that Divine Power which sways the destinies of all, I shall do what within me lies to render effective the Government, and to command for the respect and obedience of all classes of our citizens.
INAUGURAL ADDRESS.

Fellow Citizens of Florida: In entering upon the high trust which your partiality has conferred, in deference to time honored custom it becomes my duty to briefly indicate the policy of my administration as Chief Magistrate of the State.

In November, 1860, the constitutional rights of the people of Florida were subverted and its civil government was overthrown. Since then the State has been without a constitutional government and subject to military law.

In March, 1867, the Congress of the United States, in obedience to its obligation to "guarantee to every State a Republican form of government," prepared a plan by which the State could regain its forfeited rights and its people be restored to the benefits of constitutional government.

Under this plan, you have framed a government which we are here to-day to inaugurate and prepare to make effective. You have formed and adopted a Constitution based upon the great theory of American government, that all men are by nature free and endowed with equal rights. You have laid deep and broad the foundations of the State upon the principle of universal freedom.

Bred to freedom and under Republican institutions; believing slavery an unmitigated curse, as well as a violation of human rights—a moral, political, and physical evil, wherever tolerated, I most cordially congratulate you that it no longer exists to blight the fair heritage which God has given us here, and that the Constitution which you have adopted contains no germ of despotism to generate future discord.

I congratulate you also that no spirit of malevolence or bitterness, growing out of the wrongs and conflicts of the past, has been suffered to mar your organic law, but that in a spirit of magnanimity and forbearance worthy of the highest commendation, those who have forfeited their citizenship are welcomed back to the benefits and privileges of the government upon the sole condition of fealty and adherence to the Constitution and laws.

Amid the ruins of a government embodying antagonistic principles, you have laid the foundations of a government insuring harmony, stability, security, and peace. The conflicting elements and interests of the past may now all unite in a homogeneous system, all yielding obedience to a common law, which respects alike the interests of all. Time alone can heal the social disorders and dissensions created by the disruption of society and the radical change in the system of government conse-
quent upon the war. We will patiently await its mollifying influences, interposing no obstacles to a speedy restoration.

All classes of society and all the interests of the State demand peace and good government, and if the spirit of our Constitution is appreciated and reciprocated, every citizen may realize these advantages, and the State may arise from its prostrate condition to a measure of prosperity unknown in the past, and become one of the brightest luminaries in the galaxy of our glorious Union.

Fellow Citizens! I accept the high responsibility of the Chief Magistracy under your new Constitution, believing firmly in its principles, and unqualifiedly endorsing its policy and that of the Congress under whose clemency we are permitted to inaugurate anew a civil government for the State. I enter upon this high trust with the firm purpose of executing the laws in the spirit of liberality in which they are conceived, and in view of the highest interests of the State and the people. Relying upon your loyalty and patriotism, and the favor and guidance of that Divine Power which sways the destinies of all, I shall do what within me lies to render effective the Government, and to command for it the respect and obedience of all classes of our citizens.
Source #2 Questions:

Directions: Answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Use the document to support your answers whenever possible.

1. Based on Governor Reed’s speech, what can you conclude about his feelings concerning equality and the issue of slavery? How do these feelings compare to Governor Walker’s feelings from source #1? Use quotes to support your answer.

2. What does this speech indicate about the government that proceeded Governor Reed’s election under the new Florida Constitution of 1868? What type of government does Reed support and envision for Florida under his leadership?

3. What is the purpose of this document and who was its intended audience?

4. What type of promises does Governor Reed make to his audience in this speech?
Source #2 Questions: Teacher Discussion Guide

1. Based on Governor Reed’s speech, what can you conclude about his feelings concerning equality and the issue of slavery? How do these feelings compare to Governor Walker’s feelings from source #1? Use quotes to support your answer.
   - Students should notice that Governor Reed is very supportive of equality and condemns the institution of slavery.
   - Reed states, “You have formed and adopted a Constitution based upon the great theory of American government, that \textit{all men are by nature free and endowed with equal rights}.”
   - On the issue of slavery, Reed emphatically expresses his disapproval.
   - He states, “Bred to freedom and under Republican institutions; believing \textit{slavery an unmitigated curse, as well as a violation of human rights—a moral, political, and physical evil, wherever tolerated}, I most cordially congratulate you that it no longer exists to blight the fair heritage which God has given us here…”
   - These feelings are juxtaposed by Governor Walker’s beliefs.
   - Walker defends the institution of slavery adamantly in his speech and advocates inequality with his belief that whites are a superior race to African Americans.
   - Walker states, “They are no longer our \textit{contented and happy slaves}, with an abundant supply of food and clothing for themselves and families, and \textit{the intelligence of a superior race to look ahead and make all necessary arrangements for their comfort}.”
   - Walker further asserts his disapproval of equality with his belief that African Americans should not be given the right to vote.
   - He states, “We have been able to give an honest and conscientious assent to all that has been done, but each one of us knows that \textit{we could not give either an honest or conscientious assent to negro suffrage}.”

2. What does this speech indicate about the government that proceeded Governor Reed’s election under the new Florida Constitution of 1868? What type of government does Reed support and envision for Florida under his leadership?
   - Reed states, “In November, 1860, the constitutional rights of the people of Florida were subverted and its \textit{civil government was overthrown}. Since then the State has been \textit{without a constitutional government and subject to military law}.”
   - Students should recall that the start of the Civil War meant Southern states that seceded made a break with the U.S. Federal government and U.S. Constitution.
   - At the end of the war, the Confederate states were split into five military districts with a U.S. Army general in charge of each. During this time, Florida was placed under military law.
   - Reed states, “Time alone can heal the social disorders and dissensions created by the disruption of society and the radical change in the system of government consequent upon the war.”
   - Reed wishes to introduce a civil, constitutional government to Florida in which its people can be reincorporated into the Union.
   - He states, “…those who have fortified their citizenship are welcomed back to the benefits and privileges of the government upon the sole condition of fealty and adherence to the Constitution and laws.”
   - Reed envisions a government that will bring stability to Florida; he states, “Amid the ruins of a government embodying antagonistic principles, you have laid the foundations of \textit{a government insuring harmony, stability, security, and peace}.”
3. What is the purpose of this document and who was its intended audience?

- This document is the inaugural address of Governor Harrison Reed given to fellow lawmakers during the meeting of the Legislature.
- Reed spends most of his speech discussing the type of government he plans to run under the new Florida Constitution of 1868.
- This document serves to condemn Florida’s past actions, such as endorsing slavery and leaving the Union.
- However, it also is meant to praise the state’s new policies and encourages the state to move forward.
- Reed states, “I congratulate you also that no spirit of malevolence or bitterness, growing out of the wrongs and conflicts of the past, has been suffered to mar your organic law, but that in a spirit of magnanimity and forbearance worthy of the highest commendation, those who have fortified their citizenship are welcomed back to the benefits and privileges of the government upon the sole condition of fealty and adherence to the Constitution and laws.”
- Thus, students should understand that this speech is as much about discouraging the actions of the past as it is about outlining a future for Florida as a newly reaccepted part of the Union.

4. What type of promises does Governor Reed make to his audience in this speech?

- Governor Reed’s inaugural speech makes several promises to the audience about Florida’s future under his governance.
- The first promise comes as an extension of the U.S. Congress; Reed states, “…the Congress of the United States, in obedience to its obligation to ‘guarantee to every State a Republican form of government,’ prepared a plan by which the State could regain its forfeited rights and its people be restored to the benefits of constitutional government.”
- Thus, although Florida left the Union, they are promised to regain their rights by giving, “fealty and adherence to the Constitution and laws.”
- Reed also promises measures to promote equality and extend rights to all citizens; he states, “All classes of society, and all the interests of the State demand peace and good government and if the spirit of our Constitution is appreciated and reciprocated, every citizen may realize these advantages…”
- This statement goes on to highlight Reed’s promise to raise Florida’s status. He states, “…the State may arise from its prostrate condition to a measure of prosperity unknown in the past, and becomes one of the brightest luminaries in the galaxy of our glorious Union.”
- Finally the Governor promises his integrity and act in the best interest of the people.
- He states, “I enter upon this high trust with the firm purpose of executing the laws in the spirit of liberality in which they are conceived, and in view of the highest interest of the State and the people.
Joseph King sworn and examined.

By the CHAIRMAN:


Q. Were you with Mr. MacNish in October last, when he and some more colored men met a party of white men? — A. Yes, sir.

Q. Just state who was with you. — A. It was on the 17th of October.

Q. Who was with you? — A. William MacNish, Joseph Simmons, Thomas Boyd, and Stephen Thomas.

Q. Where had you been? — A. I had been to Lake City and was coming from Lake City, coming back home.


Q. What time of day was it? — A. I think, as nigh as I can come to it, the sun was about an hour high.


Q. State under what circumstances you met them and what happened — A. Before we got to them Mr. MacNish said to us, “Yonder! Look at them men coming yonder, All of them have got double-barreled shot-guns, and when you get to them don’t let us say anything to them unless they say something to us.” Before we got up to them when we got about fifteen or twenty steps, I reckon, Niblack he motioned his hand to us and told us to halt and we stopped, and he asked us, “Was we prepared to die?” We told him no, and he told us to march across the woods, and we started and they says, “Stop;” and he said to the other three men he had with them, “Hold on, boys, until I give you your orders. If any one of them breaks and runs shoot him down, it makes no difference who he is;” and he told us to march right on now, and we started on, and they marched us on right until dark, and they fetched their horses and one of them asked him if they could make a fire, and he said no; if we made up fires it would be very dark, and it would be very late, and some of us would get away from him. We was begging him to save our lives, and he says, “It’s no use to beg; it’s too late now. If we let you go you will tell on us;” and we promised him we wouldn’t, and he told us, did we know what happened up there at that old place one time, and we told him no, sir; and he told us that, “Jim Green was taken off from there and put in some old pond or other, and that’s the place you’re going to be put in to-night.”

Q. Had a man by the name of Jim Green disappeared? — A. Yes, sir.

Q. Was he a colored man? — A. Yes, sir.

Q. How long before had he disappeared? — A. Some four or five years before, I reckon

FOUND IN A POND WITH HIS THROAT CUT

Q. And never appeared afterward? — A. No, sir; he was found in the pond with his throat cut, and he told us that was the very way we was going to be done that night; that he was going to put us in the Suwannee River. We was begging him to save our lives, and he says, “I may let you all loose, but I can’t let Joe King loose; and if I had you loose, will you hang him?” And the boys told him yes; and he says “I can’t trust you;” and he wouldn’t trust them. And he told me if I wanted to say anything or wanted to bid all the others good-bye, I had better do it now; and he let us say good-bye to one another, and he made us all pray; and after he had made us all pray he had a small cotton rope around his horse’s head, about ten or fifteen feet long, and he gave it to William MacNish and made him tie it around my neck. Then he carried me off from the rest of them about a quarter of a mile; and he questioned me, and asked me about the election, and who I was going to vote for, and one thing or other and I told him I didn’t know. And he told me would I vote for any of the carpetbaggers if he would leave
me loose; and I told him no, I would not vote for any of them. And I asked him to carry me back to the other boys where they was, and not to kill me. And he carried me back to the other boys; and after he carried me back all of us was begging him not to kill us, and then he asked us how many of us would vote the democratic ticket if he would let us loose, and we all five of us told him we would vote it; and he asked us we would kill Gib Woods if he would let us loose, and we told him yes.

Q. Who was Gib Woods? –A. A colored man who lives fifteen or sixteen miles from Lake City, I think; and we told him yes, we would kill Gib Woods if he would let us loose; and he said no, we would not; and we told him we would, and he told us if he gives us his gun to shoot Gib Woods with, we would shoot him with it; and we told him no, we would not; and he said he would not trust us; and he said, “I will wait till some other time and come back here and kill him;” and he said, “You and the other boys can go back;” and he said they intended to put everybody out at Steel’s out of the way. Then they voted to see whether they would kill us or not. They all voted to save MacNish by getting up; and they all got up and voted for Joe Simmons, and all voted for Tom Boyd, and all voted for Steve Thomas. Then they voted on me, and there was but two got up and the other two sat down; and they voted again for me, for I begged one of them to vote for me, and they voted again and he did vote for me; and one of them still sat down, and he said he would vote for me, but he was afraid I would slip to his house some night when he was asleep and kills him. I told him, no, I would not, and I begged him to save my life. After a while he asked me did I remember one time calling his brother-in-law a liar, and I told him yes; and he told me if I would go down on my knees and beg him to forgive me for it he would save my life, and made me go down to my knees and beg him to save my life. Then he made me swear I would never do it again, and after I swore that Niblack called for a vote and they voted again on me, and he said if they was all to vote for me he would save my life; if the boys voted to save our lives he would do it; but that if they did not vote that way, as he was the captain and had put them to the trouble of losing the whole day, they were going to kill me. After that they all got up and voted for me, and the boys was trying to move away, when Niblack says, “You needn’t think you are loose yet.” And he told William five men apiece over to vote that ticket, and says, “If they don’t vote that ticket we must take them out and hang them, or else beat them and make them leave the country if they don’t vote the democratic ticket.” And this very man Joe Simmons and them had to go down to a place they call Keno and join the democratic club called Drew and Hull Club, on the next meeting Thursday evening.

Q. Did you vote the democratic ticket? –A. No, sir; I would have voted it, but the man who used to own me told me I was too young to vote, and he would rather I would not vote than have me in trouble afterward.

THE DEMOCRATIC CLUB INCREASES IN MEMBERSHIP.

Q. Had you been acting as a republican before that? –A. Yes, sir; I belonged to the club. They had a club down there, and all of us belonged to the republican club until they caught us on the way that night and swore to kill us; and Niblack told them that if they reported him he intended to kill us; and if he did not kill us he would make up seventy-five men, a heap worse than he was, who would do it.

Q. Did you all join the democratic club? –A. These other men joined it. I didn’t join the club at all.

THE REPUBLICAN CLUB BURSTED ALL UP.

Q. What became of the republican club? –A. They got scattered and bursted all up, and nobody ever attended to at all.

Q. After this? –A. Yes, sir; after that.

Q. Had it been meeting regularly and taking in members before that? –A. Yes, sir; there was a meeting there once or twice every week. There would be speaking and there would be people going on the list.

Q. And then there was no meeting after that? –A. No, sir; never had no meeting after that. Niblack told us he was going to get his uncle down after that to speak and that we had to go out to the speaking but he didn’t fetch him out; and old Colonel Cloud came to town and they had to have a meeting there.

Q. Did Colonel Cloud speak? –A. Yes, sir; he came down to speak.

Q. Did he make a democratic speech?—A. Yes, sir; it was a democratic show.

Q. Did he tell the colored men what they had to do? –A. No, sir; he didn’t tell them what they had to do, but he told them what he wanted them to do.

Q. He made no threats in that speech? –A. No, sir. Mr. Niblack, he told us right down we had to vote for Drew and Hull, or Drew and Tilden, whatever his name is, and if we didn’t do it he was going to kill us, and if we ever reported him he would kill us anyhow.
Q. Do you know whether the republicans had been accustomed to carry that county in former elections? —A. The republicans always been carrying it, ever since I can remember.
Q. Who carried it at the last election? —A. I don’t know. Some of them says the democrats got it this time.

WHY HE DIDN’T KNOW.
Q. You do not know yourself? —A. No, sir; I have not been there since the election. The election was on the 7th of November and I left home on the 9th—night.
Q. On the night of the 9th? —A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. COOPER:
Q. Did these men tell you at the time they had you out, away from the others, what they were particularly mad at you about? —A. No; they said this thing was intended to kill all of us.
Q. Did they say what they were particularly mad at you about, and that they were going to kill you first? —A. No, sir.
Q. Did they tell you about anything you had been doing that they were mad at you about? —A. No, sir; he never told me anything about that.
Q. Did not they say that the reason why they had selected you was because of your taking a prisoner to Lake City, or something of that sort? —A. He told William MacNish about that.
Q. And he did not tell you of it at all? —A. No, sir.
Q. He did not tell you why he was doing that to you? —A. No, sir.
Q. Did you tell anybody about this afterward? —A. About what they done to us?
Q. Yes, sir. —A. No, sir; he swore us that night never to tell it, and he swore if it was found out he would kill us.
Q. And you never told it? —A. No, sir; only to the boys that was with me.
Q. And you never told it to anybody else? —A. No, sir; never told it to anybody else until I cam down here.
Q. Did these men live in that county? —A. Yes, sir.
Q. How old are they; are they grown men? —A. There are three of them grown men.
Q. Which three? —A. Joel Niblack, Johns McKinney, and Zack McKinney. They are grown men, all three voters, and the other is only a young boy like.
Q. But the other three are men? —A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have they lived all the time in that county? —A. Ever since I have been knowing them they have.
Q. Lived in the same neighborhood with you all? —A. Yes, sir; one of them, Niblack, and his folks, did not live perhaps more than a mile and a half from us, and McKinneys lived about two miles.
Q. Where did you say they met you? —A. They met us about seven or eight miles from home.
Q. One your return from Lake City? —A. Yes, sir; coming back home.
THE COLUMBIA COUNTY KU-KLUX.

JACKSONVILLE, December 27, 1876.

JOSEPH KING sworn and examined.

By the CHAIRMAN:

Q. Were you with Mr. MacNish, in October last, when he and some more colored men met a party of white men?—A. Yes, sir.
Q. Just state who was with you.—A. It was on the 17th of October.
Q. Who was with you?—A. William MacNish, Joseph Simmons, Thomas Boyd, and Stephen Thomas.
Q. Where had you been?—A. I had been to Lake City and was coming from Lake City, coming back home.
Q. Where did you live?—A. I live 25 miles from Lake City—Tuscaloosa settlement, they call it.
Q. What time of the day was it?—A. I think, as nigh as I can come to it, the sun was about an hour high.
Q. Who did you meet?—A. We met Joel Niblack, Elias O. Steen, Johns McKinney, and Zach McKinney.
Q. State under what circumstances you met them and what happened.—A. Before we got to them Mr. MacNish said to us, "Yonder! Look at them men coming yonder. All of them have got double-barreled shot-guns, and when you get to them don't let us say anything to them unless they say something to us." Before we got up to them, when we got about fifteen or twenty steps, I reckon, Niblack he motioned his hand to us and told us to halt, and we stopped, and he asked us, "Was we prepared to die?" We told him no, and he told us to march across the woods, and we started, and they says, "Stop;" and he said to the other three men he had with them, "Hold on, boys, until I give you your orders. If any one of them breaks and runs shoot him down, it makes no difference who he is;" and he told us to march right on now, and we started on, and they marched us on right until dark, and they fetched their horses; and one of them asked him if they could make a fire, and he said no; if we made up fires it would be very dark, and it would be very late, and some of us would get away from him. We was begging him to save our lives, and he says, "It's no use to beg; it's too late now. If we let you go you will tell on us;" and we promised him we wouldn't, and he told us, did we know what happened up there at that place one time, and we told him no, sir; and he told us that "Jim Green was taken off from there and put in some old poud or other, and that's the place you're going to be put in to-night."
Q.Had a man by the name of Jim Green disappeared?—A. Yes, sir.
Q. Was he a colored man?—A. Yes, sir.
Q. How long before had he disappeared?—A. Some four or five years before, I reckon

FOUND IN A POND WITH HIS THROAT CUT.

Q. And never appeared afterward?—A. No, sir; he was found in the pond with his throat cut, and he told us that was the very way we was going to be done that night; that he was going to put us in the Suwanee River. We was begging him to save our lives, and he says, "I may let you all loose, but I can't let Joe King loose; and if I let you loose, will you hang him?" And the boys told him yes; and he says, "I can't trust you;" and he wouldn't trust them. And he told me if I wanted to say anything, or wanted to bid all the others good-bye, I had better do it now; and he let us say good-bye to one another, and he made us all pray; and after he had made us all pray he had a small cotton rope around his horse's head, about ten or fifteen feet long, and he gave it to William MacNish and made him tie it around my neck. Then he carried me off from the rest of them about a quarter of a mile; and he questioned me, and
asked me about the election, and who was I going to vote for, and one thing or other, and I told him I didn’t know. And he told me I would vote for any of the carpet-baggers if he would have me loose; and I told him no, I would not vote for any of them. And I asked him to carry me back to the other boys where they was, and not to kill me. He carried me back to the other boys; and after he carried me back all of us was begging him not to kill us, and then he asked us how many of us would vote the democratic ticket if he would let us loose, and we all five of us told him we would vote it; and he asked us if we would kill Gib Woods if he would let us loose, and we told him yes.

Q. Who was Gib Woods?—A. A colored man who lives fifteen or sixteen miles from Lake City, I think; and we told him yes, we would kill Gib Woods if he would let us loose; and he said no, we would not; and we told him we would, and he told us if he gives us his gun to shoot Gib Woods with, we would shoot him with it; and we told him no, we would not; and he said he would not trust us; and he said, “I will wait till some other time and come back here and kill him;” and he said, “You and the other boys can go back;” and he said they intended to put everybody out at Steel’s out of the way. Then they voted to see whether they would kill us or not. They all voted to save MacNish by getting up; and they all got up and voted for Joe Simmons, and all voted for Tom Boyd, and all voted for Steve Thomas. Then they voted on me, and there was but two got up and the other two sat down; and they voted again for me, for I begged one of them to vote for me, and they voted again and he did vote for me; and one of them still sat down, and he said he would vote for me, but he was afraid I would slip to his house some night when he was asleep and kill him. I told him, no, I would not, and I begged him to save my life. After a while he asked me did I remember one time calling his brother-in-law a liar, and I told him yes; and he told me if I would go down on my knees and beg him to forgive me for it he would save my life, and made me go down to my knees and beg him to save my life. Then he made me swear I would never do it again, and after I swore that Niblack called for a vote and they voted again on me, and he said if they was all to vote for me he would save my life; if the boys voted to save our lives he would do it; but that if they did not vote that way, as he was the captain and had put them to the trouble of losing the whole day, they were going to kill me. After that they all got up and voted for me, and the boys was trying to move away, when Niblack says, “You needn’t think you are loose yet.” And he told William MacNish that they all had to vote the democratic ticket now, and had to carry at least five men across over to vote that ticket, and says, “If they don’t vote that ticket we must take them out and hang them, or else beat them and make them leave the country if they don’t vote the democratic ticket.” And this very man Joe Simmons and them had to go down to a place they call Keno and join the democratic club called Drew and Hull Club, on the next meeting Thursday evening.

Q. Did you vote the democratic ticket?—A. No, sir; I would have voted it, but the man who used to own me told me I was too young to vote, and he would rather I would not vote than have me in trouble afterward.

THE DEMOCRATIC CLUB INCREASES ITS MEMBERSHIP.

Q. Had you been acting as a republican before that?—A. Yes, sir; I belonged to the club. They had a club down there, and all of us belonged to the republican club until they caught us on the way that night and swore to kill us; and Niblack told them that if they reported him he intended to kill us; and if he did not kill us he would make up seventy-five men, a heap worse than he was, who would do it.

Q. Did you join the democratic club?—A. These other men joined it. I didn’t join the club at all.

THE REPUBLICAN CLUB BURSTED ALL UP.

Q. What became of the republican club?—A. They got scattered and bursted all up, and nobody ever attended to it at all.

Q. After this?—A. Yes, sir; after that.

Q. Had it been meeting regularly and taking in members before that?—A. Yes, sir; there was a meeting there once or twice every week. There would be speaking and there would be people going on the list.

Q. And then there was no meeting after that?—A. No, sir; never had no meeting after that. Niblack told us he was going to get his uncle down after that to speak, and that we had to go out to the speaking; but he didn’t fetch him out; and old Colonel Cloud come to town and they had to have a meeting there.

Q. Did Colonel Cloud speak?—A. Yes, sir; he came down to speak.

Q. Did he make a democratic speech?—A. Yes, sir; it was a democratic show.

Q. Did he tell the colored men what they had to do?—A. No, sir; he didn’t tell them what they had to do, but he told them what he wanted them to do.

Q. He made no threats in that speech?—A. No, sir. Mr. Niblack, he told us right down we had to vote for Drew and Hull, or Drew and Tilden, whatever his name is,
and if we didn’t do it he was going to kill us, and if we ever reported him he would kill us anyhow.

Q. Do you know whether the republicans had been accustomed to carry that county in former elections?—A. The republicans always been carrying it, ever since I can remember.

Q. Who carried it at the last election?—A. I don’t know. Some of them says the democrats got it this time.

**WHY HE DIDN’T KNOW.**

Q. You do not know yourself?—A. No, sir; I have not been there since the election. The election was on the 7th of November and I left home on the 9th—night.

Q. On the night of the 9th?—A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. Cooper:

Q. Did these men tell you at the time they had you out, away from the others, what they were particularly mad at you about?—A. No; they said this thing was intended to kill all of us.

Q. Did they say what they were particularly mad at you about, and that they were going to kill you first?—A. No, sir.

Q. Did they tell you anything you had been doing that they were mad at you about?—A. No, sir; he never told me anything about that.

Q. Did not they say that the reason why they had selected you was because of your taking a prisoner to Lake City, or something of that sort?—A. He told William MacNish about that.

Q. And he did not tell you of it at all?—A. No, sir.

Q. He did not tell you why he was doing that to you?—A. No, sir.

Q. Did you tell anybody about this afterward?—A. About what they done to us?

Q. Yes, sir.—A. No, sir; he swore us that night never to tell it, and he swore if it was found out he would kill us.

Q. And you never told it?—A. No, sir; only to the boys that was with me.

Q. And you never told it to anybody else?—A. No, sir; never told it to anybody else until I came down here.

Q. Did these men live in that county?—A. Yes, sir.

Q. How old are they; are they grown men?—A. There are three of them grown men.

Q. Which three?—A. Joel Niblack, Johns McKinney, and Zack McKinney. They are grown men, all three voters, and the other is only a young boy like.

Q. But the other three are men?—A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have they lived all the time in that county?—A. Ever since I have been knowing them they have.

Q. Lived in the same neighborhood with you all?—A. Yes, sir; one of them, Niblack, and his folks, did not live perhaps more than a mile and a half from us, and McKinneys lived about two miles.

Q. Where did you say they met you?—A. They met us about seven or eight miles from home.

Q. On your return from Lake City?—A. Yes, sir; coming back home.
Directions: Answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Use the document to support your answers whenever possible.

1. This account by Joseph King from 1867 is one of numerous testimonies made by African Americans who were threatened into voting a certain way at the polls. Based on this document, what did the white men want from the African American group they threatened? Be specific.

2. Based on the format of this document and its content, what type of document is this, and what purpose did this serve?

3. How does this document support the fact that the Reconstruction era in the South was a dangerous and unstable time for African Americans? What specific details from this document support this?
1. This account by Joseph King from 1867 is one of numerous testimonies made by African Americans who were threatened into voting a certain way at the polls. Based on this document, what did the white men want from the African American group they threatened? Be specific.

- Students should note that…
- The white men who took Joseph King and his companions hostage at gunpoint were determined to get the men to vote the Democratic ticket in the 1867 presidential election. (Democrat Samuel J. Tilden was running against Republican Rutherford B. Hayes.)
- Furthermore, the white men told their captives that they must join the Democratic club in their area, as well as quit the Republican club they had been a part of previously, under threat of death.
- The African Americans were also expected to attend the speeches of certain Democratic speakers, such as Colonel Cloud.
- The white men also asked their hostages whether or not they would kill certain fellow African Americans, such as a man named Gib Woods.

2. Based on the format of this document and its content, what type of document is this, and what purpose did it serve?

- Students should be able to tell that this document is a formal record created for the purpose of an official investigation. It notes a witness being formally sworn in and questioned about a series of events by a chairman.
- From the module introduction, students should recall that the election of 1867 was a disputed election that involved the state of Florida, among others, who were believed to have unfairly influenced the election in favor of the Democrats by using threats of violence to prevent African Americans and Republicans from voting.
- This document was part of the report of the Senate Committee on privileges and elections. These accounts would help determine to what extent qualifying citizens may have had their right to vote denied or abridged.

3. How does this document support the fact that the Reconstruction era in the South was a dangerous and unstable time for African Americans? What specific details from this document support this?

- Students may note that Joseph King and his fellow African Americans were walking in the morning when they encountered the armed white men who took them hostage and threatened their lives. This was not an incident that took place under the cover of darkness, or done with secrecy. Instead, this act of terror was enacted out in the open.
- Furthermore, it is stated that these were men that the King and his companions knew. Two of these men are mentioned as living within two miles of where King lived. This further demonstrates the brazen nature of the white men in this instance and the uninhibited violence that was frequently present in the South.
- Students should also note the instance of Jim Green, the African American who had his throat slit by white men. The white captors threaten King and his companions by saying, “Jim Green was taken off from there and put in some old pond or other, and that’s the place you’re going to be put in to-night.”
- This type of outward violence left a long shadow over the safety and wellbeing of African Americans in the South at this time.
GETTING RID OF A CROWD

George P. Fowler sworn and examined.

By the CHAIRMAN:

Question. Were you in Lake City on the day of the election? —Answer. I was.
Q. What office did you fill there? —A. I held the position of chief deputy marshal. I was a regular deputy, but I was chief there that day.
Q. State what you observed, then, with reference to the refusal to allow persons to vote on that day. —A. There were four voting places in Lake City, about half a mile apart, and one of them was farther than that; about a mile I should judge. I found that I couldn’t get around to all of them unless I went on horseback. At what was called the Colored Academy precinct, the precinct No. 2, where the colored people vote mostly, Charles R. King was the United States supervisor of election and also inspector of election at that precinct. When the polls opened in the morning at eight o’clock, I rode up to the polls as soon as they were declared open, and found the room filled with white men, in which they were voting. I called upon the officers of election and told them there were too many white men in there, and that they must clear that room out. The officers of the election told me those men were all democratic challengers. There were some twenty-five or thirty or thirty-five men in there, and I insisted that the room should be cleared, and they were very insolent about it and said they wouldn’t go out, and I found I could not get them out without using force, which I did not want to do. But I finally worked a little stratagem, and thinned the room out. I placed two deputy marshals, one at the window and one at the door—not the window where they were voting, but the other window which they had up, for it was quite warm—with instructions to let any person come out, but allow none to re-enter after they were out, and positively told them they must allow nobody to go in there. There were thirty white men, democrats, around the polls inside, that were giving the colored people no chance to vote, and I worked a little plan and got a little crowd outside. It didn’t amount to much—just a little assembly there. But we attracted attention of these folks inside, and a great many of them rushed out to see what was going on, and, when they got out, they couldn’t get back again, and I succeeded in clearing the room without any force. Then complaints were made to me shortly after they commenced voting. The colored people said they had gone up to vote, and that Mr. King wouldn’t allow them to vote. I asked them, “Are you registered?” and they said, “Yes.” I questioned them as to their residence and the length of time they resided there, and they told me. I then said, “Are you willing to swear your vote in?” “Certainly; O, yes.” They said they could swear their votes in. Said I, “Come and go with me;” and there was three or four of them at that time. That was the first time. And I took them to the window—the voting place—and rode up on horseback, these men walking right beside me; and I said to Mr. King, said I, “Captain, why are these men refused the right to vote here to-day?” “Well,” says he, “I don’t think they are entitled to vote. They have been challenged by these gentlemen”—pointing to those democrats in the house. And I said to him then, said I, “Captain, you are a lawyer, and I want to ask you that, if under the laws these men have not the right to come here and swear their votes in, and whether you are not bound to take them?” Says I, “Isn’t that the law?” He says, “Yes; you are correct; that’s the law;” and I went on further to state that, “If any of those men swear falsely, you can have them all convicted. You are to receive their votes if they offer to swear them in, and you can them proceed against them and prosecute them for illegal voting.” Said I, “Why don’t you do that?” Said he, “They can’t vote here at all.” Said I, “They must vote here, if they take the oath.” The crow rushed up there then to a considerable way, and I got a considerable ways off from the window, my horse being restive,
but I heard him remark—he turned around to somebody else in the house or at the window and said, “I don’t care a cent what Marshal Fowler says or Jones, either; the men can’t vote.” I then went back to the window, and I told him, “Mr. King, these men shall vote, if I have to arrest the whole party here.” Says he, “I don’t want no difficulty here.” Says I, “Nor I either, but I am going to see that these men vote; it is my duty; and he saw that I was determined and he said, “Very well;” and he had them come forward, and swore them in and took their votes.

Q. How many did he swear in at that time?—A. I think there were three or four; I don’t know the number.
Q. Do you know who those were?—A. I don’t; but I think one was named Young. I am not certain, but I told a man standing by the box—I stationed him here and his name was Corse Robinson—and I told him to take the names of all those that were refused to votes, and had offered to swear their votes in, and be sure and keep a list of the names. I kept him there for that purpose.
Q. You stationed him there, thereafter, did you?—A. No; he was stationed there. I stationed him there the first time after I found there was a disposition to refuse them, and he took the names. He knew them and I didn’t.

[..]

ARMED MEN AT THE POLLS

Q. Were there any armed men around the polls?—I saw a great many men, I do not know the number, with pistols sticking out of their pockets, and there was a good deal of braggadocio and talk among the democrats.
A. Was there any actual fighting at the polls?—A. No, sir; I saw none during the day. There was several altercations, but I stopped it, and I succeeded in stopping all the fusses that occurred that day without any actual arrest. I will state further, that in the morning, when the election opened, John Tompkins, the sheriff of that county, I saw him have quite a bundle of papers moving around. He seemed to be looking around among the crowd of colored me. I had an eye on him. I didn’t know what he was after, but, in a few minutes, some colored an came to me and told me he had Red Nathan arrested, and was taking him right off to jail and wouldn’t let him vote. I went to the sheriff and demanded to see his authority. He showed me a regular warrant, and said I, “This man has had no trial; he has never been convicted; let him go and vote. He is an old resident here, and let him vote, and if he has been guilty of any violation of law under this warrant take him and give him a fair trial; but you cannot deprive him of his vote in this way,” and he hustled him off and refused, and I followed him and tried my best to get him to do it. He was around there and arrested one or two more, but I succeeded in getting them to vote before he got them off.
Q. What was the charge against them?—A. Some little petty misdemeanor.
Q. Did you leave before the result of the matter was known in their cases?—A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you do not know what it was?—A. No, sir.
Q. Where those all colored men that were taken?—A. Yes, sir; they were all colored men that were taken. I told him, said I, “I am satisfied you have got a plan up here among you boys to keep every colored man away from the polls you can, and I want them to vote, and if they are guilty of any violation of law—your warrants, I see, are all regular—take them off, and let them suffer the consequence, but let them vote.” He then allowed one to vote, but the first man he didn’t.
Q. Was the first man a colored man?—A. Yes, sir; they called him Red Nathan. It is a nickname they gave him. He has been quite a prominent politician among the colored men, a great worker at elections; a very resolute man. I have had him to assist me when I was deputy marshal in making arrests in bad sections of the country.
Q. Is there any other statement you wish to make with reference to what happened that day?—A. No, sir; I don’t know as there is else about that day that I can state to you. In regard to Columbia, I was only there one day. I went back afterwards to take testimony, and I took considerable testimony there since the election, but it was forwarded to Tallahassee.
[..]

Key Terms:
**Braggadocio**: overblown, empty boasting.
GEORGE P. FOWLER sworn and examined.

By the CHAIRMAN:

Question. Were you in Lake City on the day of the election?—Answer. I was.

Q. What office did you fill there?—A. I held the position of chief deputy marshal. I was a regular deputy, but I was chief there that day.

Q. State what you observed, then, with reference to the refusal to allow persons to vote on that day.—A. There were four voting-places in Lake City, about half a mile apart, and one of them was farther than that; about a mile I should judge. I found that I couldn’t get around to all of them unless I went on horseback. At what was called the Colored Academy precinct, the precinct No. 2, where the colored people vote mostly, Charles R. King was United States supervisor of election and also inspector of election at that precinct. When the polls opened in the morning at eight o’clock, I rode up to the polls as soon as they were declared open, and found the room filled with white men, in which they were voting. I called upon the officers of election and told them there were too many white men in there, and that they must clear that room out. The officers of election told me those men were all democratic challengers. There were some twenty-five or thirty or thirty-five men in there, and I insisted that the room should be cleared, and they were very insolent about it and said they wouldn’t go out, and I found I could not get them out without using force, which I did not want to do. But I finally worked a little stratagem, and thinned the room out. I placed two deputy marshals one at the window and one at the door—not the window where they were voting, but the other window which they had up, for it was quite warm—with instructions to let any person come out, but allow none to re-enter after they were out, and positively told them they must allow nobody to go in there. There were thirty white men, democrats, around the polls inside, that were giving the colored people no chance to vote, and I worked a little plan and got a little crowd outside. It didn’t amount to much—just a little assembly together. But we attracted attention of these folks inside, and a great many of them rushed out to see what was going on, and, when they got out, they couldn’t get back again, and I succeeded in clearing the room without any force. Then complaints were made to me shortly after they commenced voting. The colored people said they had gone up to vote, and that Mr. King wouldn’t allow them to vote. I asked them, “Are you registered?” and they said, “Yes.” I questioned them as to their residence and the length of time they resided there, and they told me they could swear their votes in. Said I, “Come and go with me;” and there was three or four of them at that time. That was the first time. And I took them to the window—the voting-place—and rode up on horseback, these men walking right beside me; and I said to Mr. King, said I, “Captain, why are these men refused the right to vote here to-day?” “Well,” says he, “I don’t think they are entitled to vote. They have been challenged by these gentlemen”—pointing to those democrats in the house. And I said to him then, said I, “Captain, you are a lawyer, and I want to ask you that, if under the law these men have not the right to come here and swear their votes in, and whether you are not bound to take them?” Says I, “Isn’t that the law?” He says, “Yes; you are correct: that’s the law;” and I went on further to state that, “If any of those men swear falsely, you can have all of them convicted. You are to receive their votes if they offer to swear them in, and you can then proceed against them and prosecute them for illegal voting.” Said I, “Why don’t you do that?” Said he, “They can’t vote here at all.” Said I, “They must vote here, if they take the oath.” The crowd rushed up there then to a considerable way, and I got a considerable ways off from the window, my horse being restive, but I heard him remark—he turned around to somebody else in the house or at the window and said, “I don’t care a cent what Marshal Fowler says or Jones, either: the men can’t vote.” I then went back to the window, and I told him, “Mr. King, these men shall vote, if I have to arrest the whole party here.” Says he, “I don’t want no difficulty here.” Says I, “Nor I either, but I am going to see that these men vote; it is my duty;” and he saw that I was determined, and he said, “Very well;” and he had them come forward, and swore them in and took their votes.

Q. How many did he swear in at that time?—A. I think there was three or four; I don’t know the number.

Q. Do you know who those were?—A. I don’t; but I think one was named Young. I am not certain, but I told a man standing by the box—I stationed him there and his name was Corse Robinson—and I told him to take the names of all those that were refused to vote, and had offered to swear their votes in, and be sure and keep a list of the names. I kept him there for that purpose.

Q. You stationed him there, thereafter, did you?—A. No; he was stationed there. I stationed him there the first time after I found there was a disposition to refuse them, and he took the names. He knew them and I didn’t.
ARMED MEN AT THE POLLS.

Q. Were there any armed men around the polls?—A. I saw a great many men, I do not know the number, with pistols sticking out of their pockets, and there was a good deal of bragadocio and talk among the democrats.

Q. Was there any actual fighting at the polls?—A. No, sir; I saw none during the day. There was several altercations, but I stopped it, and I succeeded in stopping all the fuses that occurred that day without any actual arrest. I will state further, that in the morning, when the election opened, John Tompkins, the sheriff of that county, I saw him have quite a bundle of papers moving around. He seemed to be looking around among the crowd of colored men. I had an eye on him. I didn't know what he was after, but, in a few minutes, some colored man came to me and told me he had Red Nathan arrested, and was taking him right off to jail and wouldn't let him vote. I went to the sheriff and demanded to see his authority. He showed me a regular warrant, and said I, "This man has had no trial; he has never been convicted; let him go and vote. He is an old resident here, and let him vote, and if he has been guilty of any violation of law under this warrant, take him and give him a fair trial; but you cannot deprive him of his vote in this way," and he hustled him off and refused, and I followed him and tried my best to get him to do it. He was around there and arrested one or two more, but I succeeded in getting them to vote before he got them off.

Q. What was the charge against them?—A. Some little petty misdemeanor.

Q. Did you leave before the result of the matter was known in their cases?—A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you do not know what it was?—A. No, sir.

Q. Were those all colored men that were taken?—A. Yes, sir; they were all colored men that were taken. I told him, said I, "I am satisfied you have got a plan up here among you boys to keep every colored man away from the polls you can, and I want them to vote, and if they are guilty of any violation of law—your warrants, I see, are all regular—take them off, and let them suffer the consequences, but let them vote." He then allowed one to vote, but the first man he didn't.

Q. Was the first man a colored man?—A. Yes, sir; they called him Red Nathan. It is a nickname they gave him. He has been quite a prominent politician among the colored men, a great worker at elections; a very resolute man. I have had him to assist me when I was deputy marshal in making arrests in bad sections of the country.

Q. Is there any other statement you wish to make with reference to what happened that day?—A. No, sir; I don't know as there is anything else about that day that I can state to you. In regard to Columbia, I was only there one day. I went back afterwards to take testimony, and I took considerable testimony there since the election, but it was forwarded to Tallahassee.

TESTIMONY OF GEORGE P. FOWLER.

259
Source #4 Questions:

Directions: Answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Use the document to support your answers whenever possible.

1. The Fifteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution prohibited denying a citizen the right to vote based on that citizen's race, color, or previous condition of servitude. Based on the incident described in this document, was this idea openly accepted in the South? What efforts are described as being made to keep African Americans from voting?

2. How does George P. Fowler feel about this situation of African Americans being prevented from voting in Columbia County? What manner does he approach the situation with, and what actions does he take to confront the issue?

3. What does the arrest of Red Nathan and the fact that the offending men are consistently described as Democrats in this testimony indicate about the incident beyond racial tensions?
1. The Fifteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution prohibited denying a citizen the right to vote based on that citizen's race, color, or previous condition of servitude. Based on the incident described in this document, was this idea openly accepted in the South? What efforts are described as being made to keep African Americans from voting?

- George P. Fowler, the chief deputy marshal, makes note of several tactics he saw white democrats employing to prevent African Americans from voting. This was in clear violation of the Fifteenth Amendment.
- From this testimony it is clear that there was a great amount of animosity among some whites in this Florida county in regards to African Americans being able to vote.
- Students should mention the instance Fowler first encountered when he arrived when the polls opened. When Fowler arrived he witnessed a large group of men, which he describes as around thirty white democrats, crowding the polling location. This is described as being intended to deter the African American citizens from voting.
- Students should also mention Fowler’s description of the white democrats as arriving at the polling location armed. Fowler states, “I saw a great many men, I do not know the number, with pistols sticking out of their pockets, and there was a good deal of braggadocio and talk among the democrats.” This would have been another intimidation tactic used to keep African Americans from voting.
- Fowler also recounts how several African Americans were put under arrest for small charges in order to take them to jail before they were able to place their votes.

2. How does George P. Fowler feel about this situation of African Americans being prevented from voting in Columbia County? What manner does he approach the situation with, and what actions does he take to confront the issue?

- George P. Fowler is both frustrated and troubled by the efforts of white Democrats to keep African Americans from voting.
- Students should note that Fowler does his best to avoid outright hostilities, but consistently tries to use the law and his authority to resolve the problem.
- In one instance Fowler is quite clever in his efforts to allow African Americans their votes. He writes, “There were thirty white men, democrats, around the polls inside, that were giving the colored people no chance to vote, and I worked a little plan and got a little crowd outside. It didn’t amount to much—just a little assembly there. But we attracted attention of these folks inside, and a great many of them rushed out to see what was going on, and, when they got out, they couldn’t get back again, and I succeeded in clearing the room without any force.”
- Thus, Fowler was able to make way for African Americans voters without causing a violent scene.
- Fowler pursues actions that avoid violence, yet he attempts to use the law to force individuals at the polling location to allow African Americans to place their votes.
- For instance, Fowler confronts Captain King who was not allowing African Americans to vote by saying, “Captain, you are a lawyer, and I want to ask you that, if under the laws these men have not the right to come here and swear their votes in, and whether you are not bound to take them?” Says I, “Isn’t that the law?”
- Similarly, when the sheriff begins arresting individuals, he demands to see their authority and requests to at least let the men vote since they had at that point not been formally convicted of any crimes.
3. What does the arrest of Red Nathan and the fact that the offending men are consistently described as Democrats in this testimony indicate about the incident beyond racial tensions?
   
   • While this instance and Reconstruction in general was certainly racially charged, it was also a political situation.
   
   • In regards to Red Nathan, Fowler writes, “He has been quite a prominent politician among the colored men, a great worker at elections; a very resolute man.” Red Nathan would have been a target because he was an African American with strong political connections.
   
   • African Americans were known to support the Republican Party, which stood for equal rights, while the Democrats preferred the subjugation of African Americans.6
   
   • Thus, Southern whites during Reconstruction objected to both the fact that African Americans possessed the votes, as well to the fact that they supported opposite political parties.
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